	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability E	10/618,689	DOUBLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David C. Reese	3677
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal all claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED or other appropriate comm IGHTS. This application is	in this application. If not included nunication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>27 June 2007</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-26.		•
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) All b) Some* c) None of the:	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)	or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.		
(a) 🔲 including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached		
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date	's Amendment / Comment	or in the Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t	l.84(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 C	the drawings in the front (not the back) of CFR 1.121(d).
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
· ·		
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5 ☐ Notice of t	Informal Patent Application
2. Notice of References offed (1 10-002) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	<u> </u>	Summary (PTO-413),
	Paper No	o./Mail Date
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),	7. 🗵 Examiner'	s Amendment/Comment
Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🗵 Examiner'	s Statement of Reasons for Allowance
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9. 🗌 Other	·

Application/Control Number: 10/618,689

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

THIS OFFICE ACTION IS IN RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S AMENDMENT FILED 6/27/2007.

Status of Claims

- Claims 1 and 14 were amended.
- Claims 1-26 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

[1] Claim 1 is generic and allowable. Accordingly, the restriction requirement as to the encompassed species is hereby withdrawn and claims 6-9, 11-13, 15-16, and 18 are directed to a species no longer withdrawn from consideration since all of the claims to this species depend from or otherwise include each of the limitations of an allowed generic claim.

In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement as to the linked species, applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable generic linking claim(s) be presented in a continuation or divisional application, such claims may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Once a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Response to Arguments

[2] Applicant's amendment filed 6/27/2007 regarding rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 have been fully considered. Due to the amendment to the claims, the prior art fails to further anticipate. Accordingly, the Examiner has withdrawn all previous rejections (in view of examiner's amendment) over Batten and Freedland et al. (see reasons for allowance).

Art Unit: 3677

Allowable Subject Matter

[3] Claims 1-26 are allowed.

Examiner's Amendment

[4] An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephonic interview with David Dorton on 9/10/2007.

The examiner has amended the application as follows:

In the Claims:

Change claims 1, 14, and 26 as shown (see attached proposed claims);

Claim 16, line 2, "meats" should be -means—;

Claim 18, line 1, "claim" should be --claim 1--;

Reasons for Allowance

[5] The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance. This application has been reviewed by the examiner and meets all formal and substantive (i.e., statutory) requirements and the language of the claims is enabled by, and finds adequate descriptive supported in the application disclosure as originally filed.

The primary reason for the allowance of the claims is the presence of limitations in the independent claims, which are not found in the prior art references. The examiner believes that

Application/Control Number: 10/618,689

Art Unit: 3677

the record of the prosecution as a whole makes clear his reasons for allowing a claim or claims. However, the examiner would like to point out one or more specific reasons and/or limitations that the prior art fails to disclose and/or make obvious. Hence, as for independent claims 1, 14, and 26, the prior art fails to disclose of a compression ring member having an inner ribbed surface defining peaks and valleys having the same shape as the peaks and valleys of said outer ribbed surfaced so as to be complementary to said peaks and valleys of said outer ribbed surface of said collet member; as well as wherein said release position results in said peaks of said collet member being entirely disposed in said valley of said compression ring for expansion of said collet member thereby releasing the outer gripping surface of the shank member.

Any comments considered necessary by Applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee, and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

[6] Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David C. Reese whose telephone number is (571) 272-7082. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am-6:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J.J. Swann can be reached at (571) 272-7075. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is the following: (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Reese Assistant Examiner Art Unit 3677

DCR

Flemming Seether Primary Examiner